



Influence of Invasive Plant Species on Pollinator Networks and Crop Pollination Services: A Comprehensive Review

¹Manshi Yadav, ²Avshesh Kumar

^{1,2,3}Department Of Botany ,T.D.P.G . College ,Jaunpur Affiliated To VBSP University ,Jaunpur (U.P.)222002.

ABSTRACT

The majority of blooming plants and numerous agricultural crops depend on pollinators for reproduction, which is crucial for preserving biodiversity and ensuring global food security. However, pollinator diversity and plant-pollinator interactions are seriously threatened by the fast spread of invasive alien plant species (IAPS). Invasive species frequently decrease native plant variety and pollination efficiency by changing the availability of floral resources, pollinator foraging behavior, and ecological networks. Pollinator visiting patterns, interaction frequency, and network stability have been observed to be altered by species like *Lantana camara*, *Parthenium hysterophorus*, *Ageratina adenophora*, *Impatiens glandulifera*, and *Prosopis juliflora*. By decreasing pollinator variety and interfering with migratory migration between wild and farmed habitats, these modifications may have a detrimental impact on crop pollination services.

The intensity of the invasion, the features of the ecosystem, and the makeup of the pollinator community all affect how big these effects are. The consequences of invasive plant species on pollinator communities, the structure of the plant-pollinator network, and crop pollination services are summarized in this article. Major ecological mechanisms, case studies from India and around the world, research gaps, and management techniques for pollinator conservation and ecosystem resilience restoration are also highlighted.

KEYWORDS

Pollinators, plant-pollinator networks, invasive alien plant species, biodiversity, ecosystem services, agriculture, and crop productivity.

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received: 27 April 2026

Accepted: 15 May 2026


Published: 30 June 2026

CITATION

Yadav, M., (2026). Influence of Invasive Plant Species on Pollinator Networks and Crop Pollination Services: A Comprehensive Review, *Journal of Science and Technology (GJST)*, 2(2), 75-83.
<https://doi.org/10.65523/gjst.2026.v2.i2.10>

1. Introduction

Along with pollution, habitat loss, climate change, and overuse of natural resources, biological invasions are one of the main causes of environmental change worldwide. According to Pyšek et al. (2020), invasive alien plant species (IAPS) are non-native plants that spread quickly and have a detrimental impact on native ecosystems by changing species relationships, biodiversity, and ecosystem processes. Globalization, international trade, urbanization, and human disturbances have all increased their global expansion (Seebens et al., 2017). According to Vilà et al. (2011), these invasive plants alter soil microbial communities, vegetation structure, nutrient cycling, and ecological interactions, including those between plants and pollinators. As a result, it is now crucial to comprehend how they affect pollination services in order to conserve biodiversity and promote sustainable agriculture (IPBES, 2016).




Approximately 87.5% of blooming plants and nearly 75% of globally significant food crops depend on pollination, which is one of the most significant ecological services (Klein et al., 2007). By moving pollen from blossom to flower, pollinators including bees, butterflies, moths, flies, beetles, birds, and bats ensure seed generation, fruit development, and plant reproduction (Ollerton et al., 2011). Pollination not only preserves biodiversity but also significantly increases agricultural production and global food security, with an estimated annual economic value of hundreds of billions of dollars (Potts et al., 2016). Pollinator populations are decreasing globally despite their ecological significance as a result of illnesses, biological invasions, agricultural intensification, habitat destruction, pesticide use, and climate change (Goulson et al., 2015). Invasive alien plants primarily affect pollinators indirectly by changing the variety, quantity, and seasonal availability of floral supplies, in contrast to many environmental stressors that directly lower pollinator populations (Stout & Morales, 2009). Pollinator foraging behavior, species composition, and interactions with native plants are all impacted by these changes, which eventually impair ecosystem functioning.


INVASIVE ALIEN PLANT SPECIES AFFECTING POLLINATORS			
Lantana camara (Lantana)	Parthenium hysterophorus (Parthenium weed)	Chromolaena odorata (Siam weed)	Ageratina adenophora (Crofton weed)
			
Produces abundant nectar and attracts many pollinators throughout the year.	Prolific flowering and high pollen production attract pollinators and suppress native flora.	Flowers in large numbers and competes with native plants for pollinator visits.	Attracts a wide range of pollinators and alters plant–pollinator interactions.
These invasive plants provide abundant floral resources and attract pollinators, thereby reducing pollination opportunities for native plants and altering ecosystem functioning.			

Plant reproduction and ecosystem stability depend on the intricate ecological networks that are created by interactions between plants and pollinators (Bascompte & Jordano, 2007). However, by displacing native vegetation or drawing pollinators with their profusion of flowers, extended flowering times, and high nectar production, invasive species frequently upset these networks (Morales & Traveset, 2009). Native species experience decreased pollen transmission, decreased seed generation, and decreased reproductive success as pollinators visit invading plants more frequently. During times of floral scarcity, certain invasive species, such as *Impatiens glandulifera* and *Lantana camara*, may momentarily supply copious amounts of nectar and pollen, sustaining pollinator populations (Lopezaraiza-Mikel et al., 2007). However, long-term declines in native floral diversity and ecological resilience frequently surpass these immediate advantages (Traveset & Richardson, 2014).

One of the main ways that invasive plants impact pollinator groups is through competition for floral resources. Their high nectar production, long flowering times, and dense floral displays draw a significant number of pollinator visits, which lowers the success of native plant species' pollination (Bjerknes et al., 2007). By changing floral characteristics including color, fragrance, nectar content, and flowering phenology, invasive plants also influence pollinator behavior. According to Bartomeus et al. (2008) and Morales & Traveset (2008), these alterations often result in decreased visitation to native plants and greater heterospecific pollen transfer, which lowers reproductive success and modifies the dynamics of plant communities. Additionally, ecological research shows that invasive plants reduce specialization while increasing network connectance, which makes pollination networks less stable and more susceptible to environmental disruptions (Aizen et al., 2008; Valdovinos et al., 2016).



Agriculture is directly impacted by disturbed pollination networks. For maximum yield and quality, insect pollination is crucial for many commercially significant crops, such as mustard, sunflower, apple, mango, tomato, cucumber, coffee, and almond (Klein et al., 2007). Pollinators may preferentially feed on invasive flowers rather than crop blossoms when invasive species predominate nearby habitats, which affects pollination efficiency and agricultural productivity (Potts et al., 2016). Invasive alien plant species like *Lantana camara*, *Parthenium hysterophorus*, *Chromolaena odorata*, *Ageratina adenophora*, *Prosopis juliflora*, and *Mikania micrantha* have taken over forests, grasslands, and agricultural landscapes in India, causing changes in pollinator communities and a loss of biodiversity (Reddy, 2008). As changes in flowering phenology and pollinator activity further disturb plant-pollinator interactions, these effects are predicted to worsen under climate change (Bellard et al., 2018).



Comprehensive studies integrating invasive species, pollinator networks, and crop pollination services are still rare, especially in tropical locations, despite the fact that a significant amount of research has looked at invasive plants and pollinators independently. Thus, this review highlights ecological mechanisms, research gaps, and management strategies for pollinator conservation and sustainable agriculture while summarizing current knowledge on the impact of invasive alien plant species on pollinator diversity, ecological network structure, and crop pollination services.

Conclusion

One of the main causes of ecological change is invasive alien plant species, which have a substantial impact on pollinator communities, biodiversity, and ecosystem functioning. This research demonstrates how invasive species affect plant-pollinator interactions, change the availability of floral resources, and alter pollinator behavior—all of which are critical for preserving ecosystem stability and agricultural output. By drawing generalist pollinators and decreasing visits to native plant species, flowering plant invasions frequently alter the composition of plant-pollinator networks. These modifications weaken ecosystem resilience, simplify ecological networks, and diminish native plant reproduction. During times of floral scarcity, certain invasive species may momentarily supply nectar and pollen, but these short-term advantages are typically balanced by long-term reductions in native floral variety and pollination efficiency.

The review also emphasizes how invasive plants have a detrimental impact on crop pollination services by interfering with pollinator travel, competing for pollinators, and decreasing pollination success in many economically significant crops. Long-term infestations may therefore result in decreased fruit and seed quality, poorer agricultural yields, and financial losses for producers. These effects show that biological invasions pose a threat to food security and sustainable agriculture in addition to biodiversity. Native vegetation, pollinator habitats, and ecosystem services are at risk in India due to the

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-Share Alike 4.0 International License.

widespread spread of invasive species like *Lantana camara*, *Parthenium hysterophorus*, *Chromolaena odorata*, *Ageratina adenophora*, *Prosopis juliflora*, and *Mikania micrantha*. Nevertheless, there are still few thorough studies that connect invasive plants, pollinator networks, and crop productivity, underscoring the need for more investigation.

To better understand invasion patterns and facilitate efficient management, future research should concentrate on long-term monitoring, ecological network analysis, remote sensing, molecular tools, and sophisticated modeling methodologies. To preserve pollination services and guarantee long-term ecosystem resilience, native flowering plant conservation, habitat restoration, invasive species control, and pollinator-friendly farming practices are crucial. In order to enhance biodiversity conservation and sustainable agricultural growth while reducing the ecological and economic effects of invasive plant species, cooperation between researchers, legislators, land managers, and local populations will be essential.

References

1. Invasive mutualists weaken native pollination webs (Aizen, M. A., Morales, C. L., & Morales, J. M., 2008). PLoS Biology, 6(2), e31. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.0060031>
2. Vilà, M., Santamaría, L., & Bartomeus, I. (2008). contrasting how invasive species affect networks of plants and pollinators. *Oecologia*, 155(4), 761-770.
3. Bascompte, J. (2009). Mutualistic networks (2009). 429–436 in *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, 7(8).
4. Jordano, P., and J. (2007). Mutualistic networks between plants and animals: The structure of biodiversity. *Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics Annual Review*, 38, 567–593.
5. Bascompte, J., Jordano, P., & Olesen, J. M. (2006) <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.38.091206.095818>. Maintaining biodiversity is made easier by asymmetric coevolutionary networks. *Science*, 312 (5772), 431-433. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1123412>
6. Jordano, P., Melián, C. J., Bascompte, J., and Olesen, J. M. (2003). the mutualistic networks between plants and animals that are nested. *National Academy of Sciences Proceedings*, 100(16), 9383–9387.
7. Bellard, C., Cassey, P., & Blackburn, T. M. (2016) <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1633576100>. Recent extinctions may be caused by alien species. *Letters on Biology*, 12(2), 20150623.
8. Bjerknes, A. L., Totland, Ø., Hegland, S. J., & Nielsen, A. (2007) <https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2015.0623>. Do invasive alien plants actually have an impact on native plant species' ability to successfully pollinate? 138(1-2), 1–12; *Biological Conservation*.
9. Rotheray, E. L., Botías, C., Ulson, D., and Nicholls, E. (2015). Stress from chemicals, parasites, and a shortage of blooms all contribute to bee decreases. *Science*, 347 (6229), 1255957. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1255957>
10. IPBES (2016). The evaluation of pollinators, pollination, and food production. Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform.
11. Steffan-Dewenter, I., Klein, A. M., Vaissière, B. E., Cane, J. H., Cunningham, S. A., Kremen, C., & Tscharntke, T. (2007). Pollinators play a crucial role in shifting global agricultural landscapes. *Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 274 (1608), 303-313.

12. Lopezaraiza-Mikel, M. E., Hayes, R. B., Whalley, M. R., & Memmott, J. (2007) <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2006.3721>. how a native plant-pollinator network is affected by an alien plant. *PLoS ONE*, 2(10), e1008. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0001008>
13. Waser, N. M., Price, M. V., and Memmott, J. (2004). Pollination networks' ability to withstand the extinction of species. *Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 271 (1557), 2605–2611. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2004.2909>
14. Traveset, A., and Morales, C. L. (2008). The extent, frequency, and effects of interspecific pollen transfer on plant fitness. *Plant Sciences Critical Reviews*, 27(4), 221-238.
15. Morales, C. L., & Traveset, A. (2009) <https://doi.org/10.1080/07352680802205631>. A meta-analysis of how alien plants affect native plants' ability to reproduce and attract pollinators. *Letters on Ecology*, 12(7), 716-728. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2009.01319.x>
16. Tarrant, S., Winfree, R., and Ollerton, J. (2011). To what extent do animals pollinate flowering plants? *Oikos*, 120(3), 321-326. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0706.2010.18644.x>
17. Bascompte, J., Dupont, Y. L., Jordano, P., and Olesen, J. M. (2007). Pollination networks are modular. *National Academy of Sciences Proceedings*, 104(50), 19891–19896. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0706375104>
18. Olesen, J. M., Jordano, P., Bascompte, J., and Elberling, H. (2008). Pollination network temporal dynamics. *Ecology*, 89(6), 1573-1582. <https://doi.org/10.1890/07-0451.1>
19. Venkatasamy, S., Eskildsen, L. I., & Olesen, J. M. (2002). Pollination networks on maritime islands are invaded. *Distributions and Diversity*, 8(3), 181-192.
20. Parra-Tabla, V., Arceo-Gómez, G., Navarro, J., & Campos-Navarrete, M. J. (2021). Invasive plant effects on pollination networks: present understanding and future prospects. 944–957 in *New Phytologist*, 231(3).
21. Potts, S. G., Imperatriz-Fonseca, V., Ngo, H. T., Aizen, M. A., Biesmeijer, J. C., Breeze, T. D., Dicks, L. V., Garibaldi, L. A., Hill, R., Settele, J., & Vanbergen, A. J. (2016). protecting pollinators and the benefits they provide to human health. *Nature*, 540 (7632), 220-229. This link: <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature2058>
22. Pyšek, P., Hulme, P. E., Simberloff, D., Bacher, S., Blackburn, T. M., Carlton, J. T., Dawson, W., Essl, F., Foxcroft, L. C., Genovesi, P., Jeschke, J. M., Kühn, I., Liebhold, A. M., Mandrak, N. E., Meyerson, L. A., Pauchard, A., Pergl, J., Roy, H. E., Seebens, H., Richardson, D. M. (2020). A warning from scientists about invasive alien species. 1511–1534 in *Biological Reviews*, 95(6).
23. Reddy, C. S. (2008). Invasive alien flora of India. <https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.12627> *Journal of Life Science*, 5(2), 84–89.
24. Seebens, H., Blackburn, T. M., Dyer, E. E., Genovesi, P., Hulme, P. E., Jeschke, J. M., Pagad, S., Pyšek, P., Winter, M., Arianoutsou, M., Bacher, S., Blasius, B., Brundu, G., Capinha, C., Celesti-Grapow, L., Dawson, W., Dullinger, S., Fuentes, N., Jürgen, H., F. Essl (2017). There is no global saturation in the number of alien species. *Communications in Nature*, 8, 14435. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms14435>
25. Morales, C. L., and Stout, J. C. (2009). Bees are affected ecologically by invasive alien species. 40(3), 388–409; *Apidologie*. <https://doi.org/10.1051/apido/2009023>
26. Richardson, D. M., & Traveset, A. (2014). biological invasions and mutualistic relationships. *Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics Annual Review*, 45, 89–113. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-120213-091857>
27. F. S. Valdovinos (2019). Mutualistic networks: Getting closer to a theory of prediction. *Letters on Ecology*, 22(9), 1517–1534. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.13279>

28. Valdovinos, F. S., Brosi, B. J., Briggs, H. M., Moisset de Espanés, P., Ramos-Jiliberto, R., & Martinez, N. D. (2016). The benefits of nestedness and connectance on pollination network stability are reversed by niche partitioning brought on by adaptive foraging. *Letters on Ecology*, 19(10), 1277–1286.
29. Vilà, M., Bartomeus, I., Dietzsch, A. C., Petanidou, T., Steffan-Dewenter, I., Stout, J. C., & Tscheulin, T. (2009). integration of invasive plants into native plant-pollinator networks throughout Europe. *Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 276 (1674), 3887–3896. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2009.1076>
30. Vilà, M., Espinar, J. L., Hejda, M., Hulme, P. E., Jarošek, V., Maron, J. L., Pergl, J., Schaffner, U., Sun, Y., & Pyšek, P. (2011). A meta-analysis of the consequences of invasive alien plants on species, communities, and ecosystems. *Letters on Ecology*, 14(7), 702-708.
31. Winfree, R., Aguilar, R., Vázquez, D. P., LeBuhn, G., & Aizen, M. A. (2009) <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2011.01628.x>. A meta-analysis of bees' responses to anthropogenic disturbance. *Ecology*, 90(8), 2068-2076. <https://doi.org/10.1890/08-1245.1>
32. Bartomeus, I., Cariveau, D. P., and Winfree, R. (2011). native pollinators in environments created by humans. *Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics Annual Review*, 42, 1–22.
33. Aizen, M. A., & Harder, L. D. (2009) <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-102710-145042>. The need for pollination in agriculture is outpacing the growth of the world's domesticated honey bee population. 19(11), 915–918, *Current Biology*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2009.03.071>
34. Biesmeijer, J. C., Roberts, S. P. M., Reemer, M., Ohlemüller, R., Edwards, M., Peeters, T., Schaffers, A. P., Potts, S. G., Kleukers, R., Thomas, C. D., Settele, J., & Kunin, W. E. (2006). In Britain and the Netherlands, pollinators and insect-pollinated plants are declining at the same time. 351–354 in *Science*, 313 (5785).
35. Burkle, L. A., Marlin, J. C., & Knight, T. M. (2013) <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1127863>. Loss of species, co-occurrence, and function in plant-pollinator interactions over 120 years. *Science*, 339(6127), 1611–1615. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1232728>
36. Nicolson, S. W., Carvalheiro, L. G., Seymour, C. L., and Veldtman, R. (2012). In big agricultural fields, planting patches of native flowers helps with crop pollination. *Applied Ecology Journal*, 49(6), 1373–1383. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2664.2012.02217.x>
37. Garibaldi, L. A., Steffan-Dewenter, I., Winfree, R., Aizen, M. A., Bommarco, R., Cunningham, S. A., Kremen, C., Carvalheiro, L. G., Harder, L. D., Afik, O., Bartomeus, I., Benjamin, F., Boreux, V., Cariveau, D., Freitas, B. M., Ghazoul, J., Greenleaf, S., Klein, A. M. (2013). Fruit set is improved by wild pollinators regardless of the quantity of honey bees. 1608–1611 in *Science*, 339(6127).
38. Geslin, B., Baude, M., Dajoz, I., Fontaine, C., Henry, M., Ropars, L., Rollin, O., & Thébault, E. (2017) <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1230200>. Managed species that have been widely introduced and their effects on plant-pollinator relationships. *Ecological Research Advances*, 57, 147–199. <https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.aecr.2016.10.007>
39. Herrera, C. M. (1987). Pollinator quality components: A comparative study of a variety of insects. *Oikos*, 50(1), 79–90. This link: <https://doi.org/10.2307/3565403>
40. Kaiser-Bunbury, C. N., Memmott, J., Müller, C. B., Muff, S., & Caflisch, A. (2010). Pollination networks' ability to withstand the loss of species. *Letters on Ecology*, 13(4), 442-452. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2009.01437.x>
41. Kearns, C. A., Inouye, D. W., & Waser, N. M. (1998). The preservation of plant-pollinator relationships is known as endangered mutualism. *Ecology and Systematics Annual Review*, 29, 83–112. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.29.1.83>

42. Memmott, J. (1999). Plant-pollinator food web structure. *Ecology Letters*, 2(5), 276-280. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1461-0248.1999.00087.x>
43. Mitchell, R. J., Flanagan, R. J., Brown, B. J., Waser, N. M., & Karron, J. D. (2009). Pollination is competing on new horizons. *Botany Annals*, 103(9), 1403–1413. <https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcp062>
44. Pollinator Diversity: Distribution, Ecological Function, and Conservation (Ollerton, J., 2017). *Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics Annual Review*, 48, 353–376. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-110316-022919>
45. Richardson, D. M., and Pyšek, P. (2010). Health, invasive species, and environmental management. *Environment and Resources Annual Review*, 35, 25–55.
46. Richardson, D. M., Allsopp, N., D'Antonio, C. M., Milton, S. J., & Rejmánek, M. (2000) <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-environ-033009-095548>. The function of mutualisms in plant invasions. *Biological Reviews*, 75(1), 65–93.
47. Simberloff, D., Martin, J. L., Genovesi, P., Maris, V., Wardle, D. A., Aronson, J., Courchamp, F., Galil, B., García-Berthou, E., Pascal, M., Pyšek, P., Sousa, R., Tabacchi, E., & Vilà, M. (2013). What are the effects of biological invasions and how can they be mitigated? *Ecology & Evolution Trends*, 28(1), 58–66. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2012.07.013>
48. Conservation of species interaction networks, Tylianakis, J. M., Laliberté, E., Nielsen, A., & Bascompte, J. (2010). 2270–2279 in *Biological Conservation*, 143(10).
49. Waser, N. M., Chittka, L., Price, M. V., Williams, N. M., & Ollerton, J. (1996) <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2009.12.004>. The importance of generalization in pollination systems. *Ecology*, 77(4), 1043–1060.
50. Woodcock, B. A., Bullock, J. M., Shore, R. F., Heard, M. S., Pereira, M. G., Redhead, J., Ridding, L., Dean, H., Sleep, D., Henrys, P., Peyton, J., Hulmes, S., Hulmes, L., Sárospataki, M., Saure, C., Edwards, M., Genersch, E., Knäbe, S., Pywell, R. F. Neonicotinoid insecticides' effects on wild and honey bees vary by country. 1393–1395 in *Science*, 356(6345). <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaa1190>