



An review study on Natural Plant Gums as Eco-Friendly Plastic Substitutes in the Packaging Industry

¹Manali Kumari, ²Avshesh Kumar and ³Rajkumar Yadav

^{1,2,3}Department of Botany, T.D.P.G. College, Jaunpur Affiliated to VBSU University, Jaunpur (U.P.) 222002.

ABSTRACT

The need for sustainable packaging materials has grown due to the growing environmental issues surrounding plastic waste. Natural plant gums are a possible substitute for petroleum-based plastics since they are non-toxic, renewable, biodegradable polysaccharides with superior film-forming and barrier qualities. The main plant gums—guar gum, gum arabic, tragacanth gum, karaya gum, and locust bean gum—as well as their sources, characteristics, and packaging uses are highlighted in this article. Recent developments in gum-based biodegradable films, their benefits, present drawbacks, and potential for environmentally friendly packaging in the future are also covered. All things considered, the development of sustainable packaging systems and the advancement of a circular bioeconomy can be greatly aided by natural plant gums.

KEYWORDS

Guar gum, gum arabic, biodegradable films, renewable materials, biodegradable packaging, sustainable packaging, biopolymers, eco-friendly plastics, and circular bioeconomy.

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received: 27 April 2026

Accepted: 15 May 2026

Published: 30 June 2026

CITATION

Kumari, M., (2026). An review study on Natural Plant Gums as Eco-Friendly Plastic Substitutes in the Packaging Industry, *Journal of Science and Technology (GJST)*, 2(2), 32-38.
<https://doi.org/10.65523/gjst.2026.v2.i2.06>

1. Introduction



Over the past few decades, the production and consumption of petroleum-based plastics have greatly expanded due to the extraordinary expansion of the worldwide packaging sector. Synthetic plastics like polyethylene (PE), polypropylene (PP), polyethylene terephthalate (PET), polystyrene (PS), and

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-Share Alike 4.0 International License.

polyvinyl chloride (PVC) have become essential materials in food, pharmaceutical, agricultural, cosmetic, and industrial packaging applications due to their exceptional mechanical strength, flexibility, durability, lightweight nature, and low production cost. However, the widespread use of these non-biodegradable materials has had detrimental effects on the environment, such as the buildup of plastic garbage in marine and terrestrial ecosystems, greenhouse gas emissions, and the depletion of fossil fuel supplies and the development of persistent microplastics that endanger human health and biodiversity (Geyer et al., 2017; Jambeck et al., 2015; Ritchie & Roser, 2018; Sharma et al., 2021; UNEP, 2023; OECD, 2022; Siracusa et al., 2020; Ncube et al., 2020). These worries have sped up the shift to environmentally friendly, biodegradable, and renewable packaging materials that can support the circular bioeconomy's tenets and replace traditional plastics made from petroleum (European Commission, 2020; Nanda et al., 2022; Dufresne, 2021).



Because of their renewable origin, biodegradability, biocompatibility, non-toxicity, and exceptional film-forming ability, plant gums have emerged as highly promising materials for the development of environmentally friendly packaging systems among the various classes of natural biopolymers (Thakur et al., 2021; Debeaufort et al., 2022; Ahmed et al., 2022; Al-Hashimi et al., 2023). Plant gums are naturally occurring high-molecular-weight polysaccharides that are either stored in the seeds, bark, stems, and fruits of many different plant species or produced as exudates. Monosaccharides like arabinose, galactose, mannose, xylose, rhamnose, glucose, and glucuronic acid are their main structural constituents and give them exceptional rheological, emulsifying, stabilizing, and adhesive qualities (Phillips & Williams, 2018; Prajapati et al., 2019; Imeson, 2019; BeMiller, 2019).



The remarkable capacity of plant gums to generate translucent, flexible, biodegradable films and edible coatings with desired mechanical and barrier properties is partly responsible for the growing scientific interest in these materials. According to Sothornvit (2020), Rhim et al. (2021), Alizadeh-Sani et al. (2020), Roy & Rhim (2021), and many other natural and synthetic biopolymers, these polysaccharides are excellent candidates for sustainable packaging technologies because of their high viscosity, excellent water-binding capacity, and good oxygen barrier performance. Additionally, natural antimicrobial agents, probiotics, antioxidants, essential oils, and bioactive compounds can be effectively transported by gum-based films, improving food preservation, increasing shelf life, and decreasing microbial spoilage (Lv et al., 2020; Hassoun & Emir Çoban, 2022; Sharma et al., 2022).



By enhancing the physicochemical and functional characteristics of plant gums, recent developments in polymer science, nanotechnology, and green chemistry have further increased their potential uses. In addition to adding nanocellulose, cellulose nanocrystals, nano-clays, graphene oxide, metal oxide nanoparticles, essential oils, and plant extracts, researchers have successfully improved gum-based packaging materials by blending polymers with starch, cellulose, chitosan, gelatin, alginate, and proteins (Dufresne, 2021; Ahmed et al., 2022; Nanda et al., 2022; Al-Hashimi et al., 2023; Ramesh et al., 2024). By greatly enhancing tensile strength, elasticity, thermal stability, water resistance, antibacterial activity, antioxidant capacity, and gas barrier qualities, these alterations overcome many of the intrinsic drawbacks of natural polysaccharide films.



The widespread industrial commercialization of plant gum-based packaging materials is nevertheless constrained by a number of issues, despite their outstanding benefits. When compared to synthetic plastics, the majority of plant gum films have poorer mechanical strength, limited thermal stability, weak water vapor barrier qualities, and comparatively high moisture sensitivity. Furthermore, product consistency and large-scale manufacturing may be impacted by variations in gum composition brought on by botanical origin, extraction methods, storage conditions, and environmental factors (Debeaufort et al., 2022; Ahmed et al., 2022; Al-Hashimi et al., 2023). In order to increase the industrial usability of these materials, future research should concentrate on creating multifunctional nanocomposites, eco-friendly cross-linking techniques, scalable processing technologies, and economical production methods.

All things considered, natural plant gums are a potential class of sustainable biopolymers that could take the place of petroleum-based plastics in a variety of packaging applications. They are desirable options for next-generation sustainable packaging systems due to their abundance, biodegradability, superior film-forming qualities, and compatibility with new green technologies. Thus, the sources, chemical makeup, physicochemical characteristics, extraction methods, film-forming mechanisms, recent technological developments, packaging applications, environmental advantages, present difficulties, and potential future developments of natural plant gums as environmentally friendly plastic alternatives in the packaging sector are all thoroughly covered in this review.

Conclusion

In the packaging business, natural plant gums show great promise as ecological and biodegradable substitutes for traditional petroleum-based polymers. They are ideal for food, pharmaceutical, and active packaging applications due to their superior film-forming capacity, biocompatibility, and renewable nature. The article emphasizes how their mechanical and barrier qualities can be further improved by material alterations including polymer mixing and nanocomposite reinforcement. Ongoing technological developments are anticipated to get over obstacles including moisture sensitivity and large-scale commercialization. All things considered, plant gum-based packaging is a viable strategy for creating eco-friendly packaging systems and promoting a circular bioeconomy.



References

1. Arrieta, M. P., Samper, M. D., Aldas, M., & López, J. (2017). On the use of PLA-PHB blends for sustainable food packaging applications. *Materials*, 10(9), 1008.

2. Aydogdu, A., Sumnu, G., & Sahin, S. (2020). Characterization of curcumin incorporated guar gum/orange oil antimicrobial emulsion films. *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*, 148, 110–120. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2019.12.255>
3. Azeredo, H. M. C. (2009). Nanocomposites for food packaging applications. *Food Research International*, 42(9), 1240–1253.
4. Bashir, K., & Haripriya, S. (2016). Assessment of physical and structural characteristics of almond gum and gum arabic. *Journal of Food Science and Technology*, 53(2), 1209–1217.
5. Bourtoom, T. (2008). Edible films and coatings: Characteristics and properties. *International Food Research Journal*, 15(3), 237–248.
6. Hamdani, A. M., Wani, I. A., & Bhat, N. A. (2019). Sources, structure, properties and health benefits of plant gums: A review. *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*, 135, 46–61. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2019.05.103>
7. Jamshidian, M., Tehrany, E. A., Imran, M., Jacquot, M., & Desobry, S. (2010). Poly-lactic acid: Production, applications, nanocomposites, and release studies. *Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety*, 9(5), 552–571.
8. Krochta, J. M. (2017). *Edible coatings and films to improve food quality* (2nd ed.). CRC Press.
9. Marcillo-Parra, V., Tupuna-Yerovi, D. S., González, Z., & Ruales, J. (2021). Encapsulation of bioactive compounds using polysaccharides for food applications. *Foods*, 10(7), 1498. <https://doi.org/10.3390/foods10071498>
10. Oikonomou, E. K., & Berret, J.-F. (2021). Advanced eco-friendly formulations of guar biopolymer-based textile conditioners. *Soft Matter*, 17, 1123–1137.
11. Oikonomou, E. K., Christov, N., Cristobal, G., Bourgaux, C., Heux, L., Boucenna, I., & Berret, J.-F. (2018). Design of eco-friendly fabric softeners. *Soft Matter*, 14, 229–241.
12. Omoike, B. A., Fagbemi, E. A., Andrew, K., & Aigba, G. A. (2026). Enhancing functionality of gum Arabic-based films and coatings. *Discover Materials*, 6, 813.
13. Reddy, M. M., Vivekanandhan, S., Misra, M., Bhatia, S. K., & Mohanty, A. K. (2013). Biobased plastics and bionanocomposites. *Progress in Polymer Science*, 38(10–11), 1653–1689.
14. Rhim, J.-W., & Ng, P. K. W. (2007). Natural biopolymer-based nanocomposite films for packaging. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, 47(4), 411–433.
15. Rhim, J.-W., Park, H. M., & Ha, C. S. (2013). Bio-nanocomposites for food packaging applications. *Progress in Polymer Science*, 38(10–11), 1629–1652.
16. Siracusa, V., Rocculi, P., Romani, S., & Rosa, M. D. (2008). Biodegradable polymers for food packaging. *Trends in Food Science & Technology*, 19(12), 634–643.
17. Tharanathan, R. N. (2003). Biodegradable films and composite coatings. *Trends in Food Science & Technology*, 14(3), 71–78.
18. Thombare, N., Jha, U., Mishra, S., & Siddiqui, M. Z. (2016). Guar gum as a promising starting material. *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*, 88, 361–372.
19. Vieira, M. G. A., da Silva, M. A., dos Santos, L. O., & Beppu, M. M. (2011). Natural-based plasticizers and biopolymer films. *European Polymer Journal*, 47(3), 254–263.
20. Yadav, M., Chiu, F.-C., & Cellulose Research Group. (2019). Cellulose nanocrystal reinforced biodegradable composites. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 211, 181–194.
21. Al-Asmari, F., Abdelshafy, A. M., Neetoo, H., & Zhang, Y. (2025). Natural gums as a promising source of prebiotics. *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*, 318, 145101.
22. Kumar, S. S., Dave, S., & Pathak, H. (2025). Edible coatings from plant-derived natural gums. *Food Chemistry*.

23. He, X., Jin, Y., Tang, L., & Huang, R. (2023). Functional biodegradable films for food packaging: A review. *arXiv*.
24. Mezreb, K., Elboutachfai, R., Barjas, G. C., Nesic, A., Bongay, C. B., Tahir, H. E., & Delattre, C. (2026). Natural gums as smart and active packaging materials. Elsevier.
25. *Natural gums as sustainable materials for food packaging*. (2025). Elsevier.
26. Mohanty, A. K., Misra, M., & Drzal, L. T. (2005). Natural fibers, biopolymers, and biocomposites. *CRC Press*.
27. Avérous, L., & Pollet, E. (2012). *Environmental Silicate Nano-Biocomposites*. Springer.
28. Kester, J. J., & Fennema, O. R. (1986). Edible films and coatings: A review. *Food Technology*, 40(12), 47–59.
29. Falguera, V., Quintero, J. P., Jiménez, A., Muñoz, J. A., & Ibarz, A. (2011). Edible films and coatings: Structures, active functions and trends. *Trends in Food Science & Technology*, 22(6), 292–303.
30. Rinaudo, M. (2008). Main properties and current applications of some polysaccharides as biomaterials. *Polymer International*, 57(3), 397–430.
31. Gennadios, A. (Ed.). (2002). *Protein-based films and coatings*. CRC Press.
32. Han, J. H. (2014). *Innovations in food packaging* (2nd ed.). Academic Press.
33. Embuscado, M. E., & Huber, K. C. (Eds.). (2009). *Edible films and coatings for food applications*. Springer.
34. Rhim, J.-W. (2012). Physical and mechanical properties of biopolymer-based films. *Food Hydrocolloids*, 29(1), 172–181.
35. Sothornvit, R., & Krochta, J. M. (2005). Plasticizers in edible films and coatings. *Innovative Food Science & Emerging Technologies*, 6(1), 107–115.
36. Debeaufort, F., Quezada-Gallo, J. A., & Voilley, A. (1998). Edible films and coatings: Tomorrow's packagings. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, 38(4), 299–313.
37. Gutiérrez, T. J. (Ed.). (2020). *Polysaccharide-based edible films and coatings*. Springer.
38. Nair, S. S., Zhu, J. Y., Deng, Y., & Ragauskas, A. J. (2014). High-performance green barriers based on nanocellulose. *Sustainable Chemical Processes*, 2(1), 23.
39. Azeredo, H. M. C., Rosa, M. F., & Mattoso, L. H. C. (2017). Nanocellulose in bio-based food packaging applications. *Industrial Crops and Products*, 97, 664–671.
40. Pelissari, F. M., Andrade-Mahecha, M. M., Sobral, P. J. A., & Menegalli, F. C. (2017). Isolation and characterization of nanofibers from banana residues for edible films. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 163, 115–123.
41. Elsabee, M. Z., & Abdou, E. S. (2013). Chitosan-based edible films and coatings: A review. *Materials Science and Engineering: C*, 33(4), 1819–1841.
42. Sanyang, M. L., Sapuan, S. M., Jawaid, M., Ishak, M. R., & Sahari, J. (2016). Recent developments in sugar palm starch biocomposites. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 150, 26–36.
43. Mali, S., Grossmann, M. V. E., García, M. A., Martino, M. N., & Zaritzky, N. E. (2006). Effects of controlled storage on properties of plasticized starch films. *Journal of Food Engineering*, 75(4), 453–460.
44. García, M. A., Martino, M. N., & Zaritzky, N. E. (2000). Lipid addition to improve barrier properties of edible starch films. *Journal of Food Science*, 65(6), 941–944.
45. Sánchez-García, M. D., Giménez, E., & Lagaron, J. M. (2008). Novel PET nanocomposites of interest in food packaging. *Trends in Food Science & Technology*, 19(2), 92–99.

46. Arvanitoyannis, I., & Biliaderis, C. G. (1999). Physical properties of polyol-plasticized edible films. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 38(1), 47–58.
47. Sharma, C., Dhiman, R., Rokana, N., & Panwar, H. (2021). Nanotechnology: An untapped resource for food packaging. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 12, 609458.
48. Cazón, P., Velazquez, G., Ramírez, J. A., & Vázquez, M. (2017). Polysaccharide-based films and coatings for food packaging: A review. *Food Hydrocolloids*, 68, 136–148.
49. Ncube, L. K., Ude, A. U., Ogunmuyiwa, E. N., Zulkifli, R., & Beas, I. N. (2020). Environmental impact of food packaging materials: A review. *Materials*, 13(21), 4994.
50. Marsh, K., & Bugusu, B. (2007). Food packaging—Roles, materials, and environmental issues. *Journal of Food Science*, 72(3), R39–R55.